United States District Court

		Hamilen 201	DISTRICT OF
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Melvin Richardson	Case Number: 03mi682
In		Defendant	S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts quire the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.
e est:	(4)	The defendant has been convicted of a (f	federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a cir-
		cumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction	U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
			sentence is life imprisonment or death. rm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		an offense for which a maximum ter	m of imprisonment of the years and offenses described in
7		a felony that was committed after 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or com	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in parable state or local offenses. committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or
] 7	(2)	local offense.	is elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment)
]	(3) (4)	for the offense described in finding 1.	ebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presump-
		tion.	Alternative Findings
٦	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	
_	ν	for which a maximum term of impr	risonment of ten years of more is preserious in
3	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. §924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presentation about the appearance of the defendant has not rebutted the presentation.	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will defend at as required and the safety of the community.
_			Alternative r indings
٢	(1)		
			
	(2)		
,			
7	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant	t will flee. ant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a pro
4	111		ant will topsified of affempt to observe Julians
	(4)	spective witness or juror).	The same of the sa
	, .	spective witness or juror).	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on.3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that
] 	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and informatio	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on 3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that without arising by Defendent Granting
	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and informatio	The same of the sa
	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and informatio	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on 3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that without arises to be defendent by the second of the second o
 	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and informatio	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on 3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that without privated to Defendent Gruping
	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and informatio	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on 3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that without arises to be defendent by the second of the second o
	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and informatio	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on 3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that without arises to be defendent by the second of the second o
fac	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and information Le different to evolve to Multiple before The defendant is committed to the custody of sparate, to the extent practicable, from possibility to the comment the specific property of the comment that the comment	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on.3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that white private to be defendent by the first of the first of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States
fac	I find	spective witness or juror). Part II - that the credible testimony and information Le defendent Le avalence Martin Le avalence Part II - Martin Le avalence Part II -	Written Statement of Reasons for Detention on.3 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that white private to be defendent by the first of the first of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States

Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

² Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

^{3&}quot;The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. §3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. §3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.